



Officers Report

Appendix 11

Impact Assessment Memo

MEMO

FILE NO AND TRIM NO: POL 08-51 / 160311021080

DATE: 22 March 2016

MEMO TO: Residential Red Zone Future Use Project

FROM: Stephen Timms, Principal Advisor, Planning CERA - on behalf of the Integrated Assessment Team & participants (esp. CERA; CDHB, WDC & TRoNT).

SUBJECT: Integrated Assessment of the WRRZRP

The purpose of this memo is to introduce and summarise the “Integrated Assessment” on the preliminary draft Waimakariri Residential Red Zone Recovery Plan.

What is an Integrated Assessment?

Around 10 years ago the Integrated Assessment approach was developed for New Zealand application by Barry Sadler and Martin Ward, and has been refined and adapted to suit the preparation of various plans and strategies. It involves a set process to determine assessment criteria based on capital asset using four pillars - social, economic, cultural, and environmental, and also utilising and/or ensures consistency with relevant statutory guidance, such as the Ministers Direction, relevant RMA and LGA plans and strategies, and other considerations. Most recently a similar process has been used to assess and help improve early drafts of the Christchurch Central Recovery Plan, Land Use Recovery Plan and Lyttelton Port Recovery Plan. It involves baseline tests relating to the four pillars (social, economic, cultural and environmental).

Process

For this Integrated Assessment, people representing the community (business, environment, local groups, iwi and many others) and professionals from a range of disciplines, together with the plan writers and those involved in producing the plan were invited to a series of 3 workshops (as summarised in Figure 1 of the IA report and overleaf). Workshop 1 decided the assessment criteria to be used; Workshop 2 set top and bottom lines and ranked the early version of the plan (21 December version); and, Workshop 3 assessed the notified preliminary draft recovery plan to determine if recommendations from workshop 2 had been addressed and suggested additional changes as needed.

The whole process has been facilitated by a CERA staff member and staff from WDC, CDHB & TRoNT. However, the discussion and recommendations do not represent the views of CERA or the government, or of the other parties engaged in preparing the draft Recovery Plan. The IA report simply captures the views expressed by participants (which are a cross section of the community) at the 3 workshops.

It is important to note that workshop 2 assessed an early iteration of the plan (21 December) and recommendations from that workshop are included in the IA report. Workshop 3 assessed the notified preliminary draft recovery plan and identified where further improvements could or should be made, and these are recorded in blue boxes (like this one) throughout the IA report.

Figure 1 – Timeline for the Integrated Assessment and follow up work

